18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 05-00611 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER DESIGNATING
13	DALE SCOTT HEINEMAN; KURT F.	CASE FOR ELECTRONIC CASE FILING
14	JOHNSON; THE DOREAN GROUP; WILLIAM JULIAN; FARREL J.	
15	LECOMPTE, JR.; SARA J. MAGOON; and CHARLES DEWEY TOBIAS,	
16	Defendants.	
17	/	

This case is hereby DESIGNATED FOR INCLUSION IN THE ELECTRONIC CASE FILING **PROGRAM** pursuant to General Order No. 45 of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. Please note important exceptions to the usual e-filing procedures, described at the conclusion of the order.

Electronic case filing (ECF), also called e-filing, provides quicker communication of time-sensitive documents among parties, the Court and the Clerk. It also provides wider and easier access to important public documents.

The Court requires parties designated for inclusion in the e-filing system to abide by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(e), Order No. 45 and Civil Local Rules 5-4 and 5-5(b). The General Orders, Local Rules and comprehensive information about the e-filing system are at ecf.cand.uscourts.gov. Requests for a printed copy of Order No. 45 should be addressed to: Guidelines for Electronic Case Filing, Clerk, U.S. District Court, 450 Golden Gate Ave., San

Francisco, CA 94102. Please enclose a stamped, self-addressed envelope bearing first-class postage.

Among the important provisions of these rules is that "[e]ach attorney of record is obligated to become an ECF User and be assigned a user ID and password for access to the system upon notification that the action is subject to ECF," Gen. Order No. 45, § IV.A., and that "parties are required to lodge for chambers no later than noon on the business day following the day that the papers are filed electronically, one paper copy of each document that is filed electronically," *id.* § VI.G. Chambers copies must be delivered to the Clerk's Office. *Ibid.* Please review the rules and Order No. 45 in their entirety. Your full compliance is required and expected.

If you believe that you should be exempted from e-filing, please make an administrative motion to be excluded. *See* Civil L.R. 7-11.

In this action, there are two pro se defendants, Kurt F. Johnson and Dale Scott Heineman. They remain entitled to file and serve all documents the old-fashioned way, by hard copy. All other defendants and the government are required to serve Heineman and Johnson with hard copies of all documents that they file in this action (except those allowed to be filed without notice to Heineman and Johnson).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 14, 2006

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

m Ahre